indirect dark
matter searches
with neutrino
telescopes

Carlos de los Heros Uppsala University

KUBEC International Workshop on Dark Mater Searches Brussels 27-29 August, 2014

physics with neutrino telescopes



cosmic accelerators AGN, GRBs, µQSrs, SN remnants (point-source searches)



Supernovae



diffuse neutrino flux (all-sky searches)



particle physics: neutrino properties fundamental laws...



generic properties of a particle dark matter candidate

- new (the Standard Model seems not to be able to provide good candidates)
- weakly interacting (not to spoil the history of the universe), or not produced thermally
- massive (we want it to have gravitational effects)
- stable (we want it to solve the DM problem now)
- neutral (otherwise we would have probably seen it)
- does not spoil any astrophysical observation (in γ s, cosmic rays... etc)

generic constrains of a particle dark matter candidate



(graphic from G. Bertone)

dark matter detection approaches



LHC

indirect signatures from dark matter annihilation







astrophysics inputs (and uncertainties...): products have to be transported to the Earth

Here is where v's are advantageous

indirect searches for dark matter

The prediction of a neutrino signal from dark matter annihilation is complex and involves many subjects of physics

- relic density calculations (cosmology)
- dark matter distribution in the halo (astrophysics)
- velocity distribution of the dark matter in the halo (astrophysics)
- physical properties of the dark matter candidate (particle physics)
- interaction of the dark matter candidate with normal matter (for capture)

(nuclear physics/particle physics)

- self interactions of the dark matter particles (annihilation) (particle physics)
- transport of the annihilation products to the detector (astrophysics/particle physics)

do we know our galaxy well enough?

 $\chi\chi \to \bar{p}, \bar{D}, e^+, v$



Particles, emitted by whatever process, must reach the detector (Earth) travelling through a medium with structure (the galaxy): interstellar gas, magnetic field

We have a standard diffusion model which assumes the galaxy is a flat cylinder with free scape at the boundaries

$$\partial_z \left(V_C \psi \right) \, - \, K \Delta \psi \, + \, \partial_E \left\{ b^{\text{loss}}(E) \, \psi \, - \, K_{EE}(E) \, \partial_E \psi \right\} \, = \, Q \left(\mathbf{x}, E \right)$$



Astrophysical inputs needed for reliable calculations and data analyses:

- dark matter distribution in the halo of galaxies (including the Milky Way)

DM annihilation ∞ DM density² (it takes two particles per annihilation)

$$\rho_{\rm DM}(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{\left(\delta + \frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\gamma} \cdot \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{(\beta - \gamma)/\alpha}}$$



$$\frac{d\Phi(\Delta\Omega)}{dE} = \frac{\langle \sigma_A v \rangle}{4\pi \cdot 2m_{\chi}^2} \frac{dN}{dE} J(\Delta\Omega)$$

$$\langle \sigma_A v \rangle \quad \text{Annihilation cross-section, velocity averaged}$$

$$\frac{dN}{dE} \quad \text{Neutrino spectrum per annihilation}$$

$$J(\Delta\Omega) = \int_{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int_{\text{Lo.s.}} \rho(l)^2 dl$$

$$J\text{-Factor:}$$
"line-of-sight" Integral over squared mass density}
Integral over squared mass density

Astrophysical inputs needed for reliable calculations and data analyses:

- Velocity distribution of the dark matter particles in the halo

Usually assumed Boltzman, but deviations from a pure Boltzmann distribution can occur



v-telescopes sensitive to this part of the velocity distribution (low-energy particles easily captured gravitationally)

direct DM experiments sensitive to this part of the velocity distribution (high-energy particles produce stronger recoils in target)

indirect searches for dark matter

Astrophysical inputs needed for reliable calculations and data analyses:

- Structure of the nucleon



Signals in indirect (gravitational capture) and direct (nuclear recoil) experiments depend on

WIMP-nucleon cross section x nucleon distribution in the target nuclei

Structure of the nucleon plays an essential role in calculating observables

$$\sigma_{SD}^{\chi N} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \langle N | \overline{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q | N \rangle \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \alpha_{q}^{a} \Delta q^{N}$$

$$\sigma_{SI}^{\chi N} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \langle N | m_{q} \overline{q} q | N \rangle \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} m_{N} \alpha_{q}^{s} f_{Tq}^{N}$$

need to be calculated in QCD or measured experimentally

some terminology



the background: the atmospheric neutrino flux

10 8 dark matter searches are low-energy relative nb of events cosmic ray 10 searches in neutrino telescopes Cosmic ray air shower muons 10 θ 10 muons 10 $\Phi_{
m V}$ [GeV cm⁻² s⁻¹sr⁻¹ Super-K v_u Atmospheric 10^{3} neutrinos neutrinos Frejus v" Convention. Frejus v Conventional 10 10⁶ x larger Bg looking AMANDA v. "up" into muons than unfolding forward folding 'down" into neutrinos 0 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 ™ั ₁₀- $\cos(\theta)$ IceCube v., unfolding forward folding . above the horizon below the horizon 10 (downgoing tracks) (upgoing tracks) \triangle IceCube/DeepCore v_e 10 High energetic muons Earth has filtered $Prompt_{V_{\mu}, V_{e}}$ can penetrate km in all cosmic ray products except neutrinos water or ice 10 10 To identify v's: $\log_{10} (E_v^5 [GeV])$ a) use Earth as a filter, ie, look for upgoing tracks, $\cos(\theta) < 0$ backgrounds in a km3 detector: b) define "starting tracks" in the - atmospheric neutrinos: $\sim 10^5$ /year detector. Use any angle misreconstructed downgoing atmospheric muons: $\sim 10^{11}$ /year

- Convert the observed neutrino flux into particle-physics related quantities:

WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section

WIMP self-annihilaton cross section

- Background taken from data when possible

- Since WIMP mass and branching ratios are unknown, choose a few benchmark models, typically

 $\chi \chi \rightarrow W^+W^-$ (or $\tau^+\tau^-$ for M χ below threshold), which gives a hard neutrino spectrum from the decays of the Ws

 $\chi \chi \rightarrow b \overline{b}$, which gives a softer neutrino spectrum

the projects

neutrino detection principle





Detect Cherenkov light of interaction products



 μ tracks >100m @ E>100 GeV



 e^{+-} :electromagnetic shower τ^{+-} : hadronic shower

neutrino detection principle





Detect Cherenkov light of interaction products

Array of optical modules in a transparent medium to detect the light emitted by relativistic secondaries produced in chargedcurrent v-nucleon interactions

Need ns timing resolution

Need HUGE volumes (tiny Xsects & fluxes)

the projects



the Super-Kamiokande neutrino detector



in operation since 1996

1 Km deep in Mozumi mine, japan

- 11,146 20' optical modules in outer detector
- 1,800 8' optical mudules in inner detector

41 m height x 39 m diameter

50,000 tons pure water

energy threshold \sim 5 MeV

compact detector \rightarrow







the IceCube neutrino telescope

- Detector completed on December 2010
- Full operation with 86 strings starts in May 2011

IceTop: Air shower detector
 80 stations/2 tanks each
 threshold ~ 300 TeV

InIce array:

- 80 Strings
- 60 Optical Modules
- 17 m between Module $% \left({{{\rm{m}}}} \right)$
- 125 m between Stri
- E threshold $\leq 100 \text{ GeV}$

<u>DeepCore array:</u>

6 additional strings
60 Optical Modules
7/10 m between Modules
72 m between Strings
E threshold ~10 GeV





- PMT: Hamamatsu, 10''

- Digitizers:

ATWD: 3 channels. Sampling 300MHz, capture 400 ns FADC: sampling 40 MHz, capture 6.4 μs

Dynamic range 500pe/15 nsec, 25000 pe/6.4 μs

- Flasher board:

12 controllable LEDs at $0^\circ~\text{or}~45^\circ$

- Dark Noise rate ~ 400 Hz
- Local Coincidence rate ~ 15 Hz
- Deadtime < 1%
- Timing resolution \leq 2-3 ns
- Power consumption: 3W

the IceCube neutrino telescope

- Detector completed on December 2010
- Full operation with 86 strings starts in May 2011
- Full detector \rightarrow Veto techniques possible.

can use IceCube outer string layers to define starting and througoing tracks

IceCube becomes a 4π detector with access

to the Galactic Center and whole southern sky





Earth)

detector)

the Baikal neutrino telescope





NT-200

- 8 strings with 192 optical modules
- 72 m height, 1070 m depth
- μ effective area >2000 m² (E_{μ}>1 TeV)
- Running since 1998

NT-200+

- commisioned April 9, 2005.
- 3 new strings, 200 m height
- 1 new bright Laser for time calibration
- new DAQ
- 2 new 4km cables to shore

the ANTARES neutrino telescope



2.5 Km deep in the Mediterranean 12 lines, 885 Optical modules 25 'storeys' with 3 OMs each 350 m long strings (active height) \sim 70 m inter-string separation 14.5 m vertical storey separation 0.04 km³ instrumented volume effective area ~ $1m^2@ 30 \text{ TeV}$

median angular resolution ~0.4°

the KM3NET neutrino telescope



prototype on ANTARES line



2.5-3.5 Km deep in the Mediterranean

distributed sites (Fr, It, Gr)

600 m long strings

multi-PMT optiocal modules

(O) km³ instrumented volume

confirm IceCube discovery + neutrino astronomy

first line deployed on May 7, 2014 @ the italian site, off the coast of Sicily

neutrino telescopes: multipurpose....



...multi-flavour detectors

neutrino event signatures:

tracks:



cascades:

Time (ms

 v_{e} , v_{τ} CC all flavours NC angular resolution $\geq 10^{\circ}$ energy resolution ~ 15% (data) tau neutrino, CC $\nu_{_{T}} + \, \mathbb{N} \to \tau \, + \, \mathbb{X}$

(simulation)



T production

dark matter searches with neutrino telescopes

dark matter searches with neutrino telescopes

Look at objects where dark matter might have accumulated gravitationally over the evolution of the Universe

signature: an excess of v over the atmospheric neutrino background



note: astrophysical & hadronic uncertainties

dark matter searches with neutrino telescopes



Sun probes $\sigma_{\chi-N}^{\text{SD}}$, $\sigma_{\chi-N}^{\text{SI}}$ complementary to direct detection different systematic uncertainties Earth - hadronic (not nuclear) - local density - can benefit from co-rotating disk dwarves & distant halos probes $<\sigma_{A}$ v> Milky Way complementary to searches with other Halo messangers (γ , CRs...) shared astrophysical systematic uncertainties (halo profiles...) Milky Way more background-free Center

dark matter searches from the Sun



dark matter searches from the Sun



Indirect dark matter searches from the **Sun** are typically a low-energy analysis in neutrino telescopes: even for the highest dark matter candidate masses, we do not get muons above few 100 GeV

Not such effect for the Earth and Halo

solar search results

IceCube results from 317 days of livetime between 2010-2011:



ANTARES results from 1321 days of livetime between 2007-2012:





Universal Extra Dimensions:

models originally devised to unify gravity and electromagnetism.

No experimental evidence against a space $3+\delta+1$ as long as the extra dimensions are 'compactified'

$$n\frac{\lambda}{2} = 2\pi R$$
, $n\frac{h}{2p} = 2\pi R \implies p = n\frac{h}{4\pi R}$

$$E^{2} = p^{2}c^{2} + m_{o}^{2}c^{4} = n^{2}\frac{1}{R^{2}}c^{2} + m_{o}^{2}c^{4} = m_{n}^{2}c^{4}$$

 $m_n^2 = \frac{n^2}{c^2 R^2} + m_o^2$

 $n=1 \rightarrow Lightest Kaluza-Klein mode, B¹$ good DM candidate

Superheavy dark matter:

- Produced **non-thermally** at the end of inflation through vacuum quantum fluctuations or decay of the inflaton field

- strong Xsection (simply means non-weak in this context)
- m from ${\sim}10^4~\text{GeV}$ to $10^{18}~\text{GeV}$ (no unitarity limit since production non thermal)

 $S+S \rightarrow t \bar{t}$ dominant







Phys. Rev. D81, 063510 (2010)



90% CL LKP-p Xsection limit vs LKP mass

solar search results: self-interacting dark matter

self-interacting dark matter

If the dark matter has a self-interaction component, $\sigma_{\chi\chi}$, the capture in astrophysical objects should be enhanced

$$\frac{dN_{\chi}}{dt} = \Gamma_C - \Gamma_A = (\Gamma_{\chi N} + \Gamma_{\chi \chi}) - \Gamma_A$$

(Zentner, Phys. Rev. D80, 063501, 2009)

 \rightarrow maximum annihilation rate reached earlier than in collisionless models

 $\sigma_{\chi\chi}$ can naturally avoid cusped halo profiles

can induce a higher neutrino flux from annihilations in the Sun

limits on $\sigma_{\chi\chi}$ can be set by neutrino telescopes





dark matter searches from the Earth



Earth search results

Earth capture rate dominated by resonance with heavy inner elements



capture mostly depends on $\sigma^{\rm SI}$

resonances increase sensitivity to low-mass WIMPs, ~50 GeV

ongoing analysis with IceCube

older results with smaller AMANDA detector (Astropart. Phys. 26, 129 (2006)) focus on vertically upgoing events.

No off-source region at dame declination: analysis based on MC and extrapolation methods





Earth search results

Earth capture rate dominated by resonance with heavy inner elements



capture mostly depends on $\sigma^{\mbox{\tiny SI}}$

resonances increase sensitivity to low-mass WIMPs, ~50 GeV

ongoing analysis with IceCube

older results with smaller AMANDA detector (Astropart. Phys. 26, 129 (2006)) \rightarrow however, $\sigma_{\chi^{-n}}^{\rm SI} \sim 10^{-42} \ cm^2$, ruled out by direct experiments

→ Normalization in the plot must be rescaled down, or a boost factor in the DM interaction cross section assumed

→ an enhanced (boosted) capture Xsection could produce a detectable neutrino flux from the center of the Earth (C. Delaunay, P. J. Fox and G. Perez, JHEP 0905, 099 (2009)).

Using the atmospheric neutrino measurement of IceCube (ie, no excess from the center of the Earth detected), model-independent limits on boost factors can be set



dark matter searches from the Galaxy/other galaxies



dark matter searches from the Galactic center/halo

probe DM annihilation cross section

$$E,\phi,\theta) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma_{\rm A} v \rangle}{2m_{\chi}^2} \Sigma_f \frac{dN}{dE} B_f}_{\Delta\Omega(\phi,\theta)} \mathbf{X} \left[\int_{\Delta\Omega(\phi,\theta)} d\Omega' \int_{\rm los} \rho^2(r(l,\phi')) dl(r,\phi') \right]$$

Ingredients:

 $d\Phi$

dE

measurement



dark matter searches from the Galactic center/halo

probe DM annihilation cross section

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE}(E,\phi,\theta) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma_{\rm A} v \rangle}{2m_{\chi}^2} \Sigma \left(\frac{dN}{dE} B_f \right)}_{\Phi} \mathbf{X} \int_{\Delta\Omega(\phi,\theta)} d\Omega' \int_{\rm los} \rho^2(r(l,\phi')) dl(r,\phi')$$

Ingredients:

measurement

particle physics model





dark matter searches from the Galactic center/halo

probe DM annihilation cross section

$$E,\phi,\theta) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma_{\rm A} v \rangle}{2m_{\chi}^2} \Sigma_f \frac{dN}{dE} B_f}_{I} \mathbf{X} \int_{\Delta\Omega(\phi,\theta)} d\Omega' \int_{\rm los} \rho^2(r(l,\phi')) dl(r,\phi')$$

Ingredients:

 $d\Phi$

dE



dark matter searches from the Galactic center

At the South Pole the GC is above the horizon. No possibility of using the Earth as a filter.

 \rightarrow Analysis must rely on veto methods to reject incoming atmospheric muons



At the ANTARES site the GC is below the horizon ~60% of the time. The Earth can be used as a filter



dark matter searches from the Galactic halo



Look for an excess of events in the onsource region w.r.t. the off-source

٥г,

Use a multipole analysis 'a la' CMB in search for large-scale anisotropies





dark matter searches from the Galaxy: results



dark matter searches from dwarf galaxies/galaxy clusters



dark matter searches from the dwarf galaxies/galaxy clusters

<u>Dwarf galaxies</u>: high mass/light ratio

- \rightarrow high concentration of dark matter in the halos
- known location. Distributed both in the north and southern sky.
 - Point-like search techniques: stacking

-

 known distance -> determination of absolute annihilation rate if a signal is detected

<u>Galaxy clusters</u>: enhance signal due to accumulation of sources

But: extended sources with possible substructure

Same expected neutrino spectra as for the galactic center/halo



dark matter searches from galaxies: results

all measure $<\sigma v>$:

IceCube Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 122001



PINGU

- (Precision IceCube next Generation Upgrade) arXiv:1401.2046
 - 40 trings
 - 60 DOMs/string
 - 20 m interstring separation
 - 5 m vertical DOM separation
- Aim: v hierarchy

and @ lower energies...

PINGU

- (Precision IceCube next Generation Upgrade) arXiv:1401.2046
 - 40 trings
 - 60 DOMs/string
 - 20 m interstring separation
 - 5 m vertical DOM separation
- Aim: v hierarchy
- Can also be used to lower the mass threshold of dark matter searches

- ANTARES and IceCube are delivering first-class science on a wide range of physics topics
- Competitive searches for dark matter in the Sun and galaxies. Complementary to accelerator, direct and other indirect searches (photons, e⁺e⁻, CRs)
- Work in progress on:

searches using the cascade channel (GC) searches from galaxy clusters/spheroids and Earth updated searches from the Sun and Galactic Halo and Center

- Low-energy extensions (ie, PINGU) planned which will allow to extend searches for DM candidates to the ~few GeV region

90% CL neutralino-p SD Xsection limit

- most stringent SD cross-section limit for most models ٠
- complementary to direct detection search efforts ٠
- different astrophysical & nuclear form-factor uncertainties ٠

a detour on systematics

Signals in indirect (≈WIMP capture) and direct (nuclear recoil) experiments depend on the WIMP-nucleon cross section (WIMP-nucleus cross section not considered here)

•

Structure of the nucleon plays an essential role in calculating observables

$$\sigma_{SD}^{\chi N} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \langle N | \overline{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q | N \rangle \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \alpha_{q}^{a} \Delta q^{N}$$

$$\sigma_{SI}^{\chi N} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \langle N | m_{q} \overline{q} q | N \rangle \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} m_{N} \alpha_{q}^{s} f_{Tq}^{N}$$

need to be calculated in QCD or measured experimentally The problem lies in the determination of Δ_q^N and f_{Tq} . These quantities are measured experimentally in π -nucleon scattering or calculated from LQCD. There are large discrepancies between the LQCD calculations and the experimental measurements, as well as between the experimental results themselves

 $-\Delta_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{N}}$:relatively good agreement (within 10%) between LQCD and experimental determinations of $\Delta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{n}}$. Some tension between the LQCD calculation of $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{N}}$ (0.02±0.001) and the experimental values (0.09±0.02), which translates into the calculation of $\sigma_{SD}^{\chi N} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \alpha_q^a \Delta q^N$

 $- \; \boldsymbol{f}_{\mathsf{Ta}} \text{:}$ Depends on the measurement of

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = \frac{1}{2} (m_u + m_d) \langle N | \overline{u} \, u + \overline{d} \, d | N \rangle \qquad \qquad y = 2 \frac{\langle N | s \, \overline{s} | N \rangle}{\langle N | \overline{u} \, u + \overline{d} \, d | N \rangle}$$

and their extrapolation to zero-momentum. Here is where the uncertainties originate

Values of $\sigma_{\rm p-N}$ in the literature vary between ~40 MeV and 80 MeV, which gives values of f_{\rm Ts} between 0.043 and 0.5.

This in turn introduces big uncertainties in $\sigma^{\chi N}_{SI} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} m_N lpha^s_q f^N_{Tq}$

allowed regions of the cMSSM with particle physics, Planck constrains and:

Perform scans on the cMSSM parameter space, calculating $\sigma_{_{SD}}$ and $\sigma_{_{SI}}$ for each model, but using two extreme values of $\Delta_{_q}{}^{_N}$ and $f_{_{Tq}}$

Dark matter experiments sensitive to spin-independent cross sections can be strongly affected by the large differences in the determination of the strangeness content of the nucleon. The reason is that spin-independent cross sections can vary up a factor of 10 depending on which input for the nucleon matrix elements is used.

Experiments sensitive to the spin-dependent cross section, like neutrino telescopes, are practically not affected by the choice of values of the nuclear matrix elements which drive the spin-dependent neutralino-nucleon cross section. Current limits from neutrino telescopes on the spin-dependent neutralino-nucleon matrix elements, and these quantities should not be a concern in interpreting neutrino telescope results.

DeepCore showed the potential of going down in

energy.

How low could we go?

Add 40 strings within the current DeepCore volume

to bring down energy threshold to O(1 GeV)

 \rightarrow **PINGU**:

Precision Icecube Next Generation Upgrade

Aims:

Physics @few GeV:

- neutrino hierarchy, low-mass WIMPs
- R&D for Megaton ring Cherenkov

reconstruction detector for p-decay

and high statistics SuperNova detection

9.3 GeV neutrino producing a 4.9 GeV muon and a 4.4 GeV cascade

DeepCore only

9.3 GeV neutrino producing a 4.9 GeV muon and a 4.4 GeV cascade

DeepCore + PINGU

DeepCore only

50 DOMs hit

20 DOMs hit

sensitivity study based on current IceCube analysis techniques

- Assume complete background rejection of

downgoing atmospheric muons through veto

technique

- On-source search window of 10°
- \rightarrow reach WIMP masses of 5 GeV

blue shaded areas ==> range of possibly obtainable
sensitivity with improved analysis techniques

L> use of signal and background spectral information

sensitivity study based on current IceCube analysis techniques

- Assume complete background rejection of

downgoing atmospheric muons through veto

technique

- On-source search window of 10°
- \rightarrow reach WIMP masses of 5 GeV

blue shaded areas ==> range of possibly obtainable
sensitivity with improved analysis techniques

L> use of signal and background spectral information

Galactic Center, $<\sigma_A v > (1 \text{ yr live time})$

